Co gtkecp College of y g'Ct vu

Course Report - Spring 2009

Course: FCP 230 - Fcpeg'('Ej qtgqi tcr j { 'F guki p

Instructor: Andrews, Lwdg

Day & Time: WED @ 19:50:00 - 21:30:00

Students: 30

Total Responses: 10

Section: FEV1

Curriculum: CFX

Program: UNDER


College: O GVTQ4

Department: OQX

	Course Mean	No Response	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1. The syllabus explained the scope and expectations of the course.	4.80	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 20.00%	8 80.00%
2. The instructor clearly explained how student work was to be evaluated and graded.	4.70	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3 30.00%	7 70.00%
3. The pace of the course was reasonable.	4.70	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3 30.00%	7 70.00%
4. Course activities added to my knowledge of the subject matter.	4.60	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 40.00%	6 60.00%
5. Course assignments added to my knowledge of the subject matter.	4.70	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3 30.00%	7 70.00%
6. The course itself was stimulating and enjoyable.	4.70	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3 30.00%	7 70.00%
7. I would recommend this course to a fellow student.	4.80	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 20.00%	8 80.00%
8. The instructor encouraged students to relate the subject matter to practical examples.	4.80	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 20.00%	8 80.00%
9. The instructor made helpful comments on student course work.	4.60	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 40.00%	6 60.00%
10. Exams and papers were graded and returned in a timely fashion.	4.20	0	1 10.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 40.00%	5 50.00%
11. The instructor was fair in his/her evaluation of student work.	4.40	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 20.00%	2 20.00%	6 60.00%
12. The instructor seemed to have substantial knowledge of the course subject matter.	4.80	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 20.00%	8 80.00%
13. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter.	4.80	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 20.00%	8 80.00%
14. The instructor used a variety of instructional techniques and strategies.	3.90	0	1 10.00%	0 0.00%	2 20.00%	3 30.00%	4 40.00%
15. The instructor began and ended the class on time.	4.60	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 40.00%	6 60.00%
16. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	4.60	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 40.00%	6 60.00%
17. The instructor encouraged students to think critically.	4.80	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 20.00%	8 80.00%
18. The instructor spoke in a clear and understandable manner.	4.70	0	0	0	0	3 30.00%	7 70.00%
19. The instructor followed the syllabus.	4.70	0	0	0 0.00%	0	3 30.00%	7 70.00%
20. The required readings/texts were valuable.	4.80	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0.00%	2 20.00%	8 80.00%
21. The intructor encouraged students to activiely participate in class.	4.70	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0.00%	3 30.00%	7 70.00%
22. The instructor was responsive to student questions and concerns.	4.70	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0.00%	3 30.00%	7 7 70.00%
23. The instructor encouraged an atmosphere of mutual respect.	4.80	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0.00%	2 20.00%	8 80.00%
24. I would recommend the instructor to a fellow student.	4.40	0	1 10.00%	0 0.00%	0.00%	2 20.00%	7 70.00%
Overall Results for FCP '452'/ Fcpeg'('Ej qt gqi tcr j { 'Fguli p''	4.64		1.25%	0.00%	1.67%	27.92%	69.17%


25. What overall grade would you assign for this course?

Total Responses: 9



26. What grade do you expect for this course?

Total Responses: 9



Instructor: Andrews, Lwkg

Course Report - Spring 2009

Instructor: Andrews, 'Lwkg

Comments:

- * This professor is very well educated in this course and I would love to take a course with her again.
- * Very young and vibrant, professional professor.
- * I really enjoyed the dynamics of the class.

Course: FCP "452"/"Fcpeg"("Ej qtgqi tcr j {"Fguki p


Comparison Report - Spring 2009


Summary:	Question Mean	Curriculum Mean	College Mean	Overall Mean
1. The syllabus explained the scope and expectations of the course.	4.80	4.51	4.46	4.47
2. The instructor clearly explained how student work was to be evaluated and graded.	4.70	4.55	4.49	4.47
3. The pace of the course was reasonable.	4.70	4.49	4.45	4.42
4. Course activities added to my knowledge of the subject matter.	4.60	4.53	4.50	4.45
5. Course assignments added to my knowledge of the subject matter.	4.70	4.52	4.48	4.45
6. The course itself was stimulating and enjoyable.	4.70	4.46	4.41	4.36
7. I would recommend this course to a fellow student.	4.80	4.50	4.43	4.37
8. The instructor encouraged students to relate the subject matter to practical examples.	4.80	4.55	4.52	4.48
9. The instructor made helpful comments on student course work.	4.60	4.52	4.47	4.42
10. Exams and papers were graded and returned in a timely fashion.	4.20	4.54	4.49	4.47
11. The instructor was fair in his/her evaluation of student work.	4.40	4.54	4.50	4.49
12. The instructor seemed to have substantial knowledge of the course subject matter.	4.80	4.62	4.59	4.58
13. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter.	4.80	4.60	4.58	4.54
14. The instructor used a variety of instructional techniques and strategies.	3.90	4.50	4.46	4.40
15. The instructor began and ended the class on time.	4.60	4.58	4.54	4.52
16. The instructor was well prepared for each class session.	4.60	4.60	4.57	4.53
17. The instructor encouraged students to think critically.	4.80	4.60	4.56	4.50
18. The instructor spoke in a clear and understandable manner.	4.70	4.58	4.55	4.51
19. The instructor followed the syllabus.	4.70	4.53	4.49	4.47
20. The required readings/texts were valuable.	4.80	4.53	4.48	4.42
21. The intructor encouraged students to activity participate in class.	4.70	4.59	4.56	4.51
22. The instructor was responsive to student questions and concerns.	4.70	4.58	4.54	4.51
23. The instructor encouraged an atmosphere of mutual respect.	4.80	4.59	4.55	4.53
24. I would recommend the instructor to a fellow student.	4.40	4.54	4.49	4.43

Instructor: Andrews, Lwkg


Instructor: Andrews, Lwkg

25. What overall grade would you assign for this course?






Curriculum Results



College Results



Overall Results

